Addressing From		То	
James Curtin/DC/USEPA/US		Ruth Chemerys/DC/USEPA/US@EPA Ruth Chemerys/DC/USEPA/US	
СС		BCC	
Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/ Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/			
Description			Form Used: Reply
Subject		Date/Time	
Re: Review requested by 2:30: Draft response to Kalispel		07/05/2012 11:25 AM	
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor
4	94,885		James Curtin
Processing			
Comments			
"response" attachments a	are deliberative but there r	o "agenda" attachments can may not be harm in releasing paring to the version that a withheld HR	ng. HR
I think both of the respor REleased in folder "Curtir			
I think both of the respon		Nor	n-responsive
I think both of the respon	n_Furtak_7_5_12_4"  Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive

**Release-Redaction** 

## **Document Body**

**Document Log Item** 

Good catch, Ruth. Why don't you change it as follows:

In your email, you seek agreement to three "basic assumptions" before the meeting on July 24. Without more conversation with information from tribal representatives about these assumptions, we do not believe that will be possible. At the meeting, we would be happy to listen to discuss these assumptions with you at the meeting and would-particularly welcome your thoughts on the following questions to provide us a better understanding of how they apply, in particular, to our review of this TMDL.

James Curtin Office of General Counsel 202.564.5482 Mail Code: 2355A curtin.james@epa.gov

Ruth Chemerys Jim-- I was a little confused by your edit indicatin... 07/05/2012 02:09:47 PM

From: Ruth Chemerys/DC/USEPA/US
To: James Curtin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/05/2012 02:09 PM

Subject: Re: Review requested by 2:30: Draft response to Kalispel

## Jim--

I was a little confused by your edit indicating that we would be happy to discuss the the 3 assumptions posed by the Tribe. I had thought we discussed indicating that we would be willing to listen to the Tribe's own thoughts on those assumptions, but not that we'd have a discussion. I'm a little concerned about turning the meeting into a discussion of those assumptions, rather than listening to the Tribe's view on technical issues. It seems that by offering a discussion of these broad policy issues, it changes the scope of what we had agreed to originally.

## Ruth

James Curtin Sarah - Here are my edits to both documents. L... 07/05/2012 01:38:20 PM

From: James Curtin/DC/USEPA/US
To: Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Felicia Wright/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ruth

Chemerys/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Lee Schroer/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tod

Siegal/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/05/2012 01:38 PM

Subject: Re: Review requested by 2:30: Draft response to Kalispel

Sarah - Here are my edits to both documents. Let me know if you have any questions. - Jim

Tod - Feel free to add anything you like to this letter and draft agenda for a meeting OW is having later this month with a tribe to hear what the tribe thinks about a Washington State TMDL and whether Region 10 should approve it. If you can get any edits to Sarah by mid-afternoon, she would appreciate it. She's trying to get it to the tribe today, if possible. Thanks. - Jim



jul\_5\_2012\_draft\_response\_to\_kalispel.jc.docx



jul\_5\_2012\_mark\_up\_kalispel\_agenda\_draft.jc.docx

James Curtin Office of General Counsel 202.564.5482 Mail Code: 2355A curtin.james@epa.gov

Sarah Furtak \*deliberative draft\* Dear Jim & Felicia, 07/05/2012 11:33:14 AM

From: Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US

To: James Curtin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Felicia Wright/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ruth Chemerys/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/05/2012 11:33 AM

Subject: Review requested by 2:30: Draft response to Kalispel

Dear Jim & Felicia,

Attached below, please find a draft, deliberative response to Kalispel Tribe's email/request of June 29.

I will plan to share this with my Acting Branch Chief this afternoon, and then share it with Region 10 for a very quick review this afternoon prior to responding to Kalispel Tribe.

Your input by 2:30 pm Eastern would be most helpful in track changes format.

Thanks,

Sarah 202 566 1167





jul\_5\_2012\_draft\_response\_to\_kalispel.docx\_jul\_5\_2012\_mark\_up\_kalispel\_agenda\_draft.docx

<sup>\*</sup>deliberative draft\*